

The homology theory of Koszul-Vinberg algebroids and Poisson manifolds II

M. NGUIFFO BOYOM

Département de Mathématiques, Université Montpellier II
boyom@Math.univ-montp2.fr

February 1, 2008

Abstract

We deal with smooth real manifolds as well as complex analytic manifolds as well. It is well known that the concept of star product is powerful enough to produce all Poisson structures on real manifolds. According to [BdM] it is not known whether holomorphic star products exist on complex analytic manifolds.

The main purpose of this paper is to show that the concept of homology of Koszul-Vinberg algebroids on smooth (resp. complex analytic) manifolds is an effective tool to produce smooth (resp. complex analytic) Poisson structures on smooth (resp. complex analytic) manifolds. We also study some invariants of contact structures which arise from the associated Koszul-Vinberg algebroids.

Introduction. It is well known that Lie algebroids are related to special Poisson manifolds. On the other hand Poisson tensors appear also as infinitesimal deformations of the associative algebras of smooth functions on real manifolds [Kontsevich...], [DWL], this being highlighted by the concept of star product.

According to [BdM] the real arguments that are used to produce smooth star products don't work in the case of complex analytic functions on holomorphic manifolds.

In [NGB₃] we have developped a homology theory of Koszul-algebras and their modules. That homology provides a framework for many differential geometry problems such as deformations of hyperbolic affine manifolds. The purpose of this paper is to use the homology theory of Koszul-Vinberg algebroids to produce all Poisson structures on smooth (resp. complex analytic) manifolds.

To every smooth (resp. complex analytic) manifold is assigned a canonical Koszul-Vinberg algebroid $\mathcal{V}(M)$ whose base manifold is the cotangent bundle (resp. the holomorphic cotangent manifold) T^*M . We focus on real (complex) homology of $\mathcal{V}(M)$, say $H_*(\mathcal{V}(M), \mathbb{R})$ (resp. $H_*(\mathcal{V}(M), \mathbb{C})$). We show that there is a one to one correspondence between the set of smooth (resp. holomorphic) Poisson manifold structures on M , say $\mathcal{P}(M)$, and a subset of $H_2(\mathcal{V}(M), \mathbb{R})$ (resp. $H_2(\mathcal{V}(M), \mathbb{C})$).

We also point out a one to one correspondence between the vector space of smooth (resp. holomorphic) vector fields on M and a subspace of $H_1(\mathcal{V}(M), \mathcal{V}(M))$.

1 - Background materials

Throughout this section we denote by $\mathbb{F}$ a commutative field of characteristic zero. All vector spaces that are considered have the same base field, $\mathbb{F}$.

1.1. Koszul-Vinberg algebras and their modules.

Given an algebra $\mathcal{A}$ whose multiplication map is denoted by

$$ab, \quad a \in \mathcal{A}, b \in \mathcal{A}.$$

the associator map of $\mathcal{A}$ is the 3-multilinear map, $(, ,)$ defined by

$$(a, b, c) = a(bc) - (ab)c, \quad \forall a, b, c \in \mathcal{A} \quad (1)$$

Let W be a vector space endowed with two $\mathcal{A}$ -actions

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} \times W &\rightarrow W \\ (a, w) &\rightarrow aw \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} W \times \mathcal{A} &\rightarrow W \\ (w, a) &\rightarrow wa. \end{aligned}$$

Given elements $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ and $w \in W$ we set

$$\begin{aligned} (a, b, w) &= a(bw) - (ab)w \\ (a, w, b) &= a(wb) - (aw)b, \\ (w, a, b) &= w(ab) - (wa)b. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

Définition. An algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is called a Koszul-Vinberg algebra if its associator map is symmetric w.r.t. the first two arguments.

Given a Koszul-Vinberg algebra $\mathcal{A}$, a vector space W with two $\mathcal{A}$ -actions $\mathcal{A} \times W \rightarrow W$ and $W \times \mathcal{A} \rightarrow W$ we have :

Définition. A vector space W with two actions as above is called a Koszul-Vinberg module of $\mathcal{A}$ if it satisfies the following identities :

$$\begin{aligned}
(a, b, w) &= (b, a, w), \\
(a, w, b) &= (w, a, b). \\
\forall a, \forall b &\in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } \forall w \in W
\end{aligned}$$

Here are examples of Koszul-Vinberg algebras.

(e_1). Every associative algebra is Koszul-Vinberg algebra.

(e_2). Let us consider $\mathbb{F}^4 = \{X = (x, y, z, t), \text{ Given } x, y, z, t \in \mathbb{F}\}$

$$\begin{aligned}
X &= (x, y, z, t), \\
X' &= (x', y', z', t')
\end{aligned}$$

we define the multiplication

$$XX' = ((y + t)z' - tt', zz' - t(x' + t'), (y - t)t', 0).$$

It is easy to verify that $\forall X, X', X'' \in \mathbb{F}^4$ we have

$$(X, X', X'') = (X', X, X'').$$

(e_3). Let $\mathbb{F}$ be the field $\mathbb{R}$ of real numbers. Let L be the vector space of formal vector fields at the origin of $\mathbb{R}^m$. Thus $\forall X \in L$,

$$\begin{aligned}
X &= \sum_{i=0}^n X_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, \\
X_i &\in \mathbb{R}[[x_1, \dots, x_m]].
\end{aligned}$$

Given two elements of L , say X and X' we set

$$XX' = \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\sum_{j=1}^m X_i \frac{\partial X'_j}{\partial x_i} \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$$

It is easy to check that L with the above multiplication is a Koszul-Vinberg algebra

$$(X, X', X'') = (X', X, X'').$$

Let V, W be two Koszul-Vinberg modules of a Koszul-Vinberg algebra $\mathcal{A}$. The (algebraic) tensor product $V \otimes W$ is also a Koszul-Vinberg module of $\mathcal{A}$ under the following actions

$$\begin{aligned} a(v \otimes w) &= av \otimes w + v \otimes aw \\ (v \otimes w)a &= v \otimes wa \end{aligned}$$

In particular the vector spaces $T^r V = \bigotimes^r V, r \in \mathbb{N}^*$, are Koszul-Vinberg modules of $\mathcal{A}$. We will equip the vector space $\text{hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(T^r W, T^s V)$ with the following $\mathcal{A}$ -actions :

For given $\theta \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(T^r W, T^s V), a \in \mathcal{A}$, we set

$$\begin{aligned} (a\theta)(\xi) &= a(\theta(\xi)) - \theta(a\xi), \\ (\theta a)(\xi) &= (\theta(\xi))a \quad \forall \xi \in T^r W. \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Under (3) $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(T^r W, T^s V)$ is a Koszul-Vinberg module of $\mathcal{A}$. Given a Koszul-Vinberg module W of a Koszul-Vinberg algebra $\mathcal{A}$, we set

$$J(W) = \{w \in W / (a, b, w) = 0 \quad \forall a, \forall b \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

In particular regarding $\mathcal{A}$ as Koszul-Vinberg module of itself we set

$$J(\mathcal{A}) = \{c \in \mathcal{A} / (a, b, c) = 0 \quad \forall a, \forall b \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

1.2 - The chain complex $C(\mathcal{A}, W)$.

To every Koszul-Vinberg module of $\mathcal{A}$, say W , we assign the $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector space

$$C(\mathcal{A}, W) = \bigoplus_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} C_q(\mathcal{A}, W)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_q(\mathcal{A}, W) &= 0 \text{ if } q < 0, \\ C_0(\mathcal{A}, W) &= J(W), \\ C_q(\mathcal{A}, W) &= \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(T^q \mathcal{A}, W) \text{ if } q > 0 \end{aligned}$$

Let $q \in \mathbb{Z}, q > 0$. For every $(a, j) \in \mathcal{A} \times \mathbb{Z}$ with $1 \leq j \leq q$ we define a linear map $e_j(a)$ from $C_q(\mathcal{A}, W)$ to $C_{q-1}(\mathcal{A}, W)$ by putting

$$e_j(a) \theta(a_1 \dots a_{q-1}) = \theta(a_1 \dots a_{j-1}, a, a_j, \dots a_{q-1}).$$

We are going now to equip the $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector space $C(\mathcal{A}, W)$ with a differential operator of degree $+1$. Indeed we define

$$\delta_q : C_q(\mathcal{A}, W) \rightarrow C_{q+1}(\mathcal{A}, W)$$

by

$$\delta_0(w)(a) = -aw + wa \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{A}, \forall w \in J(W). \quad (3.1)$$

If $q > 0$

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_q \theta)(a_1 \dots a_{q+1}) &= \sum_{j \leq q} (-1)^j \{ (a_j \theta)(\dots \hat{a}_j \dots a_{q+1}) \\ &\quad + (e_q(a_j) \theta a_{q+1})(\dots \hat{a}_j \dots \hat{a}_{q+1}) \} \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

The operator $\delta = (\delta_q)_q$ satisfies the following identity

$$\delta_{q+1} \circ \delta_q = 0$$

Details can be found in [NGB₃]. Thus we get the chain complex

$$J(W) \xrightarrow{\delta_0} C_1(\mathcal{A}, W) \rightarrow \dots C_q(\mathcal{A}, W) \xrightarrow{\delta_q} \dots \quad (4)$$

The q^{th} homology of (4) is denoted by $H_q(\mathcal{A}, W)$:

$$H_q(\mathcal{A}, W) = \ker(\delta_q) / \text{im}(\delta_{q-1}).$$

It is to be noticed that the chain complex (4) is different from that constructed by Albert Nijenhuis in [N.A]. In [NGB₃] we have shown that the complex (4) provides an efficient framework for the deformation theory of Koszul-Vinberg algebras and Koszul-Vinberg modules. Thus from the viewpoint of deformation theory the complex (4) answers the fundamental question raised by M. Gerstenhaber ; viz “every restricted deformation theory generates its proper cohomology theory”, [GM]. In the litterature Koszul-Vinberg algebras are also called left symmetric algebras [NGB₁], [HJ], [PM], they are closely related to differential geometry of bounded domains and to affinal flat geometry, [VEB], [MJ], [NGB₄].

1.3. Extension of affine structure and $H_2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{F})$.

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a Koszul-Vinberg algebra ; it gives rise to the Lie algebra A_L whose bracket is defined by

$$[a, b] = ab - ba.$$

Let us denote by Π the linear map from $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(T^2 \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A})$ to $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}\left(\binom{2}{\Lambda} \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}\right)$ which is defined by

$$(\Pi\theta)(a, b) = \frac{1}{2}(\theta(a, b) - \theta(b, a)).$$

We consider $\mathbb{F}$ as a trivial module of $\mathcal{A}$, it becomes a trivial module of $\mathcal{A}_L$, thus we will consider the Hochschild complex

$$\dots \rightarrow C^q(\mathcal{A}_L, \mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{\delta} C^{q+1}(\mathcal{A}_L, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \dots \quad (5)$$

The $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector space

$$C^*(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{F}) = \bigoplus_{q \in \mathbb{Z}} C^q(\mathcal{A}_L, \mathbb{F})$$

is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} C^q(\mathcal{A}_L, \mathbb{F}) &= 0 \text{ if } q < 0, \\ C^0(\mathcal{A}_L, \mathbb{F}) &= \mathbb{F} \\ C^q(\mathcal{A}_L, \mathbb{F}) &= \text{Hom}\left(\binom{q}{\Lambda} \mathcal{A}_L, \mathbb{F}\right) \text{ if } q > 0 \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_0 &= 0 \text{ and} \\ \delta\theta(a_1 \dots a_{q+1}) &= \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \theta([a_i, a_j], \dots \hat{a}_i \dots \hat{a}_j \dots) \end{aligned}$$

Now let us regard Π as map from $C_2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{F})$ to $C^2(\mathcal{A}_L, \mathbb{F})$. Then one easily verifies that

$$\oint (\delta_2 \theta)(a, b, c) = (2\delta \Pi \theta)(a, b, c)$$

where $\oint$ stands for cyclic sum w.r.t. a, b, c . Thus Π induces a linear map

$$\Pi : H_2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{A}_L, \mathbb{F}).$$

By setting

$$\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}_L) = H^2(\mathcal{A}_L, \mathbb{F}) / \text{im}(\Pi)$$

we get the exact sequence of vector spaces

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ker}(\Pi) \rightarrow H_2(\mathcal{A}, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{A}_L, \mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{\sigma} \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}_L) \rightarrow 0 \quad (6)$$

The quotient space $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A}_L)$ has a geometric meaning. Indeed let ω be cocycle in $C^2(\mathcal{A}_L, \mathbb{F})$. It defines a Lie algebra structure in $\mathbb{F} \oplus \mathcal{A}_L$ whose bracket is given by

$$[(\lambda, a), (\mu, b)] = (\omega(a, b), [a, b]).$$

Since the bracket of $\mathcal{A}_L$, has the form

$$[a, b] = ab - ba$$

where $(a, b) \rightarrow ab$ is the multiplication in the Koszul-Vinberg algebra, the question arises to know whether $\mathbb{F} \bigoplus_{\omega} \mathcal{A}_L$ admits a Koszul-Vinberg multiplication

$$((\lambda, a), (\mu, b)) \rightarrow (\lambda, a) \cdot (\mu, b)$$

such that

$$(\lambda, a) \cdot (\mu, b) - (\mu, b) \cdot (\lambda, a) = (\omega(a, b), [a, b])$$

If such a multiplication exists then by setting

$$(0, a) \cdot (0, b) = (\varphi(a, b), ab)$$

we see that $\delta_2 \varphi = 0$ and $2\Pi\varphi(a, b) = \omega(a, b)$. Thus

$$\sigma([\omega]) = 0$$

where $[\omega]$ is the class of ω in $H^2(\mathcal{A}_L, \mathbb{F})$.

We conclude that given a 2-cocycle ω in $C^2(\mathcal{A}_L, \mathbb{F})$, the Lie algebra $\mathbb{F} \bigoplus_{\omega} \mathcal{A}_L$ admits a Koszul-Vinberg algebra structure.

$$(\lambda, a) \cdot_{\varphi} (\mu, b) = (\varphi(a, b), ab)$$

such that

$$(\lambda, a) \underset{\varphi}{\cdot} (\mu, b) - (\mu, b) \underset{\varphi}{\cdot} (\lambda, a) = (\omega(a, b), [a, b])$$

if and only if $\sigma([\omega]) = 0$. This obstruction meaning of $\mathcal{O}(\mathcal{A}_L, \mathcal{A})$ is a way to understand the example of nilpotent Lie groups without left invariant affine structure, $[BY]$. To be more explicit let us consider a finite dimensional real Koszul-Vinberg algebra $\mathcal{A}$. Let G be the connected and simply connected Lie group whose Lie algebra is $\mathcal{A}_L$. Thus the multiplication map in $\mathcal{A}$ gives rise to a locally flat linear connection on G , say D which is invariant under the left translations by elements of G . Given $X, X' \in \mathcal{A}_L$ we have

$$D_X X' = XX'.$$

Let ω be a cocycle in $C^2(\mathcal{A}_L, \mathbb{R})$, then ω defines the following bracket in $\mathfrak{G}_\omega = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathcal{A}_L$.

$$[(\lambda, X), (\lambda', X')] = (\omega(X, X'), [X, X']). \quad (7)$$

So, we have the exact sequence of Lie algebra

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}_\omega \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_L \rightarrow \mathfrak{o} \quad (8)$$

Let G_ω be the connected and simply connected real Lie group whose Lie algebra is $\mathfrak{G}_\omega$, then (8) is equivalent to the exact sequence of real Lie groups

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{>0} \rightarrow G_\omega \xrightarrow{p} G \rightarrow 1. \quad (8')$$

Now the question arises to know whether G_ω does admit a left invariant locally linear connection D_ω such that the projection p is an affine homomorphism from (G_ω, D_ω) to (G, D) . The obstruction to the existence of D_ω is the image of the class $[\omega] \in H^2(\mathcal{A}_L, \mathbb{R})$ under the linear map

$$\sigma : H^2(\mathcal{A}_L, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}[\mathcal{A}_L, \mathcal{A}]$$

2 - Scalar homology of Koszul-Vinberg algebroids.

The aim of this section is to introduce the concept of real (resp. complex) homology of smooth (resp. complex analytic) Koszul-Vinberg algebroids according to $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$.

2.1. Koszul-Vinberg algebroids.

According to $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, manifold M will mean for smooth or complex analytic manifold. Vector bundles over M and sections of vector bundles are smooth or holomorphic according to $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$. In particular if M is holomorphic manifold then $\Gamma(TM)$ stands for holomorphic vector fields. So given a vector bundle over M , say E , $\Gamma(E)$ is the vector space of sections of $E \rightarrow M$; $\Gamma(\widetilde{\mathbb{F}})$ stands for the associative algebra of smooth (resp. holomorphic) functions on M according to $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$.

Definition. A Koszul-Vinberg algebroid over a manifold M is a couple (E, a_n) where E is a vector bundle over M and a_n is a $\mathbb{F}$ -linear map (anchor) from $\Gamma(E)$ to $\Gamma(TM)$ satisfying the following conditions :

(r_1) $\Gamma(E)$ is a Koszul-Vinberg algebra (its multiplication will be denoted by ss' $\forall s, s' \in \Gamma(E)$) ;

(r_2) given $f \in \Gamma(\widetilde{\mathbb{F}})$, $s \in \Gamma(E)$, $s' \in \Gamma(E)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} (fs)s' &= f(ss') ; \\ s(fs') &= f(ss') + (a_n(s) \cdot f)s'. \end{aligned}$$

Examples of Koszul-Vinberg algebroids.

(e_1) Let (M, D) be a locally flat manifold. Then $\Gamma(TM)$ is a Koszul-Vinberg algebra whose multiplication map is defined by

$$(s, s') \rightarrow ss' = D_s s'$$

Thus the couple $(TM, \text{identity})$ is Koszul-Vinberg algebroid (when $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$, TM stands for $T^{1,0}(M)$).

(e_2) Let $\mathcal{L}$ be Lagrangian foliation in a symplectic manifold (M, ω) . Let $E(\mathcal{L}) \subset TM$ be the tangent bundle of $\mathcal{L}$. We consider two sections s and s' of $E(\mathcal{L}) \rightarrow M$ and we define the section $ss' \in \Gamma(E(\mathcal{L}))$ by the formula

$$i(ss')\omega = L_s i(s')\omega$$

where L_s (resp. $i(s')$) stands for the Lie derivation (resp. inner product). It is easily verified that

$$(s, s', s'') = (s', s, s'') \quad \forall s, s', s'' \in \Gamma(E(\mathcal{L})).$$

The couple $(E(\mathcal{L}), \text{inclusion map})$ is a Koszul-Vinberg algebroid.

(e_3) Particular cases of (e_2) are determined by completely integrable hamiltonian systems in (compact) symplectic manifolds. Given such a system Σ in (M, ω) the orbits of Σ are orbits of a locally free action of $\mathbb{F}^m$ on M , $2m = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} M$. Thus these orbits are affine manifolds. So Σ gives rise to a Koszul-Vinberg algebroid.

(e₄) Every non singular section $X \in \Gamma(TM)$ defines a Koszul-Vinberg algebroid

$$E = \bigcup_{x \in M} \mathbb{F}X_x.$$

Sections of E have the form $s = fX$ with $f \in \Gamma(\mathbb{F})$. Thus given $s = fX$ and $s' = f'X$ we set

$$ss' = f(Xf').$$

Given a Koszul-Vinberg algebroid (E, a_n) we consider the Whitney sum $\varepsilon = E \oplus \tilde{\mathbb{F}}$ where $\tilde{\mathbb{F}}$ stands for the trivial bundle

$$M \times \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{M}.$$

Sections of ε have the form

$$\xi = (s, f) \in \Gamma(E) \times \Gamma(\tilde{\mathbb{F}})$$

Let $\xi = (s, f)$, $\xi' = (s', f')$ be elements of $\Gamma(\varepsilon)$, we define the section $\xi\xi' \in \Gamma(\varepsilon)$ by

$$\xi\xi' = (ss', ff' + a_n(s)f'). \quad (9)$$

By direct calculation one sees that if ξ, ξ', ξ'' are elements of $\Gamma(\varepsilon)$ then

$$(\xi, \xi', \xi'') = (\xi', \xi, \xi'').$$

Now let us set

$$a_\varepsilon(s, f) = a_n(s).$$

Then $(\varepsilon, a_\varepsilon)$ is a Koszul-Vinberg algebroid. We shall denote by $\mathcal{G}$ the vector space $\Gamma(\varepsilon)$ endowed with the multiplication (9).

The vector space $W = \Gamma(\tilde{\mathbb{F}})$ is a two-sided ideal of the Koszul-Vinberg algebra $\mathcal{G}$. Therefore we shall regard W as Koszul-Vinberg module of $\mathcal{G}$.

Henceforth we plan dealing with the chain complexes of E .

2.2. Homology of E

Let us consider the following chain complexes :

$$\begin{aligned} C(\mathcal{G}, W) &= (C_q(\mathcal{G}, W), \delta_q), \quad q \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ C(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}) &= (C_q(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}), \delta_q), \quad q \in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

The boundary operators δ_q are defined by (3.1) and (3.2). The q^{th} homology of $C[\mathcal{G}, W]$ is denoted by $H_q(M, \mathbb{F})$ and that of $C(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G})$ by $H_q(\mathcal{G})$.

Definition. (i) Given a Koszul-Vinberg algebroid $E \rightarrow M$, the q^{th} scalar homology of E , denoted by $H_q(E, \mathbb{F})$ is the vector space $H_q(g, \mathbb{F})$. (ii) The q^{th} homology of E , say $H_q(E)$ is the vector space $H_q(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G})$.

We just observed that W is two-sided ideal of $\mathcal{G}$, so we have the exact sequence of Koszul-Vinberg algebras

$$0 \rightarrow W \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \Gamma(E) \rightarrow 0 \quad (10)$$

Since $\Gamma(E)$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{G}$, sequence (10) is splittable. On the other hand we see that $\Gamma(E)$ is a left ideal of $\mathcal{G}$ because

$$(s, f) \cdot (s', 0) = (ss', 0).$$

2.3. A vanishing theorem.

We are concerned with the homology space $H(E) = \bigoplus_q H_q(E)$ of a Koszul-Vinberg algebroid (E, a_n) .

For every non negative integer $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ the vector space $C_q(\mathcal{G}, W)$ is bigraded by its subspaces

$$C_{r,s}(\mathcal{G}, W) = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}}(T^r \mathcal{A} \otimes T^s W, W) \quad (11)$$

In other words we have

$$C_q(\mathcal{G}, W) = \bigoplus_{r+s=q} C_{r,s}(\mathcal{G}, W). \quad (12)$$

The bigraduation (11) does not agree with the boundary operators δ_q . However we can equip the homology space $H_q(E, \mathbb{F})$ with the graduation defined by

$$H_{r,s}(E, F) = \frac{\ker(\delta_q : C_{r,s}(\mathcal{G}, W) \rightarrow C_{r+1,s}(\mathcal{G}, W) \oplus C_{r,s+1}(\mathcal{G}, W))}{\delta_{q-1}(C_{r-1,s}(\mathcal{G}, W) + C_{r,s-1}(\mathcal{G}, W)) \cap C_{r,s}(\mathcal{G}, W)}.$$

So we see that

$$H_q(E, \mathbb{F}) = \bigoplus_{r+s=q} H_{r,s}(E, \mathbb{F}).$$

Theorem I. *For every positive integer r we have $H_{r,0}(E, \mathbb{F}) = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\theta \in C_q(\mathcal{G}, W)$ be a cycle, viz $\delta_q \theta = 0$. We will decompose θ according to (11), that is to say

$$\theta = \sum_{r+s=q} \theta_{r,s}$$

with $\theta_{r,s} \in C_{r,s}(\mathfrak{G}, W)$. Since we have

$$\delta_q \theta_{r,s} \in C_{r+1,s}(\mathfrak{G}, W) \oplus C_{r,s+1}(\mathfrak{G}, W)$$

we see that for $f \in W$ and $\xi_1, \dots, \xi_q \in \mathcal{A} = \Gamma(E)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_1 \theta(f, \xi_1 \dots \xi_q) &= -f \theta(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_q) - \sum_{1 \leq j < q} (-1)^j (\xi_j \theta) \left(f \dots \hat{\xi}_j \dots \xi_q \right) \\ &= -f \theta(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_q) - \sum_{1 \leq j < q} \xi_j \theta_{q-1,1} \left(f \dots \hat{\xi}_j \dots \xi_q \right) \end{aligned}$$

Let us take f to be the constant function 1, then

$$\delta_q \theta(1, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_q) = -\theta_{q,0}(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_q) - \delta_q(e_1(1_e) \theta_{q-1,1})(\xi_1 \dots \xi_q)$$

In particular if $\delta_q \theta = 0$, then we obtain

$$\theta_{q,0} = -\delta_q e_1(1_e) \theta_{q-1,1}.$$

This ends the proof of Theorem I •

3.- Symbols of chains of superorder.

This section is inspired by the concept of homology of complex of differential forms of super order by J.L. Koszul, [KJL], (see also the Spencer homology theory, [SS], [GH], [MB].

3.1. The canonical decomposition of homogeneous chains.

We keep all conventions of Section 2.

Consider a Koszul-Vinberg algebroid (E, a_n) over a manifold M . We associate to E the Koszul-Vinberg algebra $\mathcal{G} = \Gamma \left(E \oplus \tilde{\mathbb{F}} \right)$ whose multiplication is given by (9).

Definition. Given a non negative integer k a homogeneous chain $\theta \in C_q(\mathcal{G}, W)$ is said to be of order $\leq k$ if for $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_q) \in \mathcal{G}^q$ the value at each $x \in M$ of $\theta(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_q)$ depends on the k^{th} jet at x of the ξ_j^s .

From now on we shall present $j_x^k \xi$ as follows :

$$j_x^k \xi = (\xi(x), d_x^1 \xi, \dots, d_x^k \xi),$$

where $d_x^\ell \xi$ stands for the ℓ^{th} order differnetial at x of section ξ .
Let I be a q -tuple of non negative integers, say

$$I = (i_1, \dots, i_q)$$

with $0 \leq i_1, \dots, i_q \leq k$. To every chain of order $\leq k$, say $\theta \in C_q(\mathcal{G}, W)$, and $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_q) \in \mathcal{G}^q$ we set

$$\theta^I(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_q)(x) = \theta(d_x^{i_1} \xi_1, \dots, d_x^{i_q} \xi_q).$$

Thus the chain θ is decomposed as it follows :

$$\theta = \sum_I \theta^I \tag{13}$$

Then θ^I is called component of type I of θ .

Definition. The symbol of a homogeneous q -chain of order $\leq k$, say $\theta \in C_q(\mathcal{G}, W)$, is its component of type $(k, \dots, k)$.

The symbol of θ will be denoted by σ_θ .

Proposition. The symbol σ_θ of every homogeneous q -cycle θ is δ_q -closed.

Proof. Let us consider the decomposition of θ given by (13)

$$\theta(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_q)(x) = \sum_I \theta^I(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_q)$$

with $I = (i_1, \dots, i_q)$. Then we see that

$$\delta \theta(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{q+1}) = \sum_I \delta \theta^I(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{q+1}).$$

If $q = 0$ then $\theta \in J(W)$, since elements of $J(W)$ are of order ≤ 0 , we have $\sigma_\theta = 0 \quad \forall \theta \in J(W)$.

Let us suppose that $q > 0$, then the formula

$$\delta_q \theta (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{q+1}) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq q} (-1)^j \left\{ (\xi_j \theta) (\dots \hat{\xi} \dots \xi_{q+1}) + (e_q (\xi_j) \theta \xi_{q+1}) (\dots \hat{\xi}, \dots, \hat{\xi}_{q+1}) \right\}$$

shows that the terms of $\delta \theta^I$ are of order $\leq \max(I) + 1$. Now we introduce the concept of degree of θ^I , say $\deg \theta^I$ by setting

$$\deg \theta^I = |I| = i_1 + \dots + i_q$$

Thus if $\sigma_\theta \neq 0$ then $\deg \sigma_\theta = qk$. Therefore we deduce that $\sigma_\theta \neq 0$ implies the inequality

$$\deg \theta^I < \deg \sigma_\theta.$$

On the other hand a simple calculation shows that $\delta \theta^I (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{q+1})$ is homogeneous in the following sense : let us set

$$\delta_q \theta^I (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_{q+1}) = \sum_J \delta_q \theta^I (d^{j_1} \xi_1, \dots, d^{j_{q+1}} \xi_{q+1}) \quad (14)$$

with $J = (j_1, \dots, j_{q+1}) \in \mathbb{N}^{q+1}$. Thus each J which occurs in (14) must satisfy the equality

$$|J| = |I| + 1$$

Thus if $\sigma_\theta \neq 0$ then $\theta^I \neq \sigma_\theta$ implies that

$$\deg (\delta_q \theta^I) < \deg (\delta_q \sigma_\theta) \quad (15)$$

From (15) one concludes that $\delta_q \theta = 0$ implies $\delta_q \sigma_\theta = 0$ •

3.2. Symbols and transversally Poisson foliations.

We are going to relate symbols of some kind of homogeneous 2-cycles to foliations that have transverse Poisson structures.

To motivate we begin by recalling some elementary properties of Hochschild complex of associative commutative algebras.

Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be an associative commutative algebra over $\mathbb{F}$. We denote by $C^2(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A})$ the vector space of 2-cochains of the Hochschild complex

$$\mathfrak{A} \xrightarrow{d} C^1(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}) \xrightarrow{d} \dots C^q(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}) \xrightarrow{d} \dots$$

The coboundary operator

$$d : C^2(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}) \rightarrow C^3(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A})$$

is defined by

$$d\theta(a, b, c) = a\theta(b, c) - \theta(ab, c) + \theta(a, bc) - \theta(a, b)c.$$

We denote by Π_θ the skew symmetric component of θ , viz

$$2\Pi_\theta(a, b) = \theta(a, b) - \theta(b, a)$$

The following elementary properties have remarkable consequences, [KM], [BdM].

Suppose that $d\theta = 0$, then

(P_1) $d\Pi_\theta = 0$;

(P_2) for every fixed $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ the linear map $\Pi_\theta(a, -)$ given by $b \rightarrow \Pi_\theta(a, b)$ is a derivation of the algebra $\mathfrak{A}$.

(P_3) the symmetric component of θ , say S_θ given by

$$2S_\theta(a, b) = \theta(a, b) + \theta(b, a)$$

is d -exact whenever $\mathfrak{A}$ has a unit element.

Suppose that $\mathbb{F}$ is the field $\mathbb{R}$ of real numbers ; then let $\mathfrak{A}$ be the associative commutative algebra of smooth functions on a smooth manifold M . (P_1), (P_2) and (P_3) relate the isomorphism classes of star products on M to isomorphism classes of formal Poisson structures (see [KM],[BdM],[VJ]).

An other reason why we are interested in the scalar homology of Koszul-Vinberg algebroid is the following. According to [BdM] it is unknown whether does the correspondence

$$\{\text{class of star product on } \mathbb{N}\} \leftrightarrow \{\text{class of formal Poisson structures on } M\}$$

hold in the category of complex analytic manifolds.

Remarks. In general situation neither (P_1) nor (P_2) holds in Koszul-Vinberg algebras. For instance given any Koszul-Vinberg algebra $\mathcal{A}$ its multiplication map

$$(a, b) \rightarrow ab$$

is δ_2 -closed, (it is δ_1 -exact), however up to factor $\frac{1}{2}$ its skew symmetric component is nothing but the bracket of $\mathcal{A}_L$; that bracket is not δ_2 -closed.

Now in regard to (P_2) the linear map $ad(a)$ will be a derivation of the Koszul-Vinberg algebra $\mathcal{A}$ iff $\xi \in J(\mathcal{A})$.

The remarks above motivate new definitions.

Given $\theta \in C_2(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G})$ we define the θ -associator to be the 3-multilinear map from $\mathcal{G}^3$ to $\mathcal{G}$ defined by

$$(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)_\theta = \theta(\xi_1, \theta(\xi_2, \xi_3)) - \theta(\theta(\xi_1, \xi_2), \xi_3)$$

Definition. (i) A chain $\theta \in C_2(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G})$ is called a Koszul-Vinberg chain of $\mathcal{G}$ if $(\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)_\theta = (\xi_2, \xi_1, \xi_3)_\theta \quad \forall \xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3 \in \mathcal{G}$.

(ii) A Koszul-Vinberg cycle of $\mathcal{G}$ is a Koszul-Vinberg chain θ satisfying both conditions $\delta_2\theta = 0$ and $\delta_2\Pi_\theta = 0$.

Given a Koszul-Vinberg algebroid (E, a_n) we consider its complex

$$J(W) \xrightarrow{\delta_0} C_1(\mathcal{G}, W) \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \dots$$

Given a chain of order $\leq k, k > 0$, say $\theta \in C_q(\mathcal{G}, W)$ we decompose θ as before, that is

$$\theta = \sum_I \theta^I.$$

Henceforth let us suppose all the θ^I to have the same degree, viz $|I| = \text{constant}$.

Theorem II. Let $\theta \in C_{0,2}(\mathfrak{G}, W)$ be a skew symmetric cycle of order $\leq k$ all of whose components θ^I have the same degree equal to $2k$. If $k > 0$ then $k = 1$.

Proof. We start by assuming that $k > 1$. Then let f, g, h be elements of $W = \Gamma(\tilde{\mathbb{F}})$. We consider the following expression

$$L(f, g, h) = f\theta(g, h) + \theta(g, f)h - \theta(g, fh).$$

From the closeness of θ , viz, $\delta_2\theta = 0$, we deduce that

$$L(f, g, h) = L(g, f, h)$$

Since $\deg \theta = 2k$ a direct calculation leads to

$$L(f, g, h) = \sum_{\substack{r+s=k \\ rs>0}} \theta(d^k g, d^r f \cdot d^s h)$$

where $d^r f \cdot d^s h(x)$ is the product of polynomial functions. At the present step we know that $L(f, g, h)$ is symmetric w.r.t. its three arguments f, g and h . This last property holds iff $L(f, g, h) \equiv 0 \quad \forall f, g, h \in W$.

Thus for every fixed $g \in W$ the linear map from W to W which is defined by

$$f \rightarrow \theta(g, f)$$

is a derivation of the associative algebra W . So θ is a bidifferential operator of order one, viz $k = 1$. This conclusion contradicts our starting assumption $k > 1$. Theorem II is proved •

The following statement is a direct consequence of Theorem II.

Theorem III. *Let (E, a_n) be a Koszul-Vinberg algebroid. If $\theta \in C_{0,2}(\mathcal{G}, W)$ is a Koszul-Vinberg cycle of order $\leq k$, then the skew symmetric component of its symbol σ_g is Poisson tensor on M .*

Proof. We denote by Π_θ the skew symmetric component of σ_θ ,

$$2\Pi_\theta(f, g) = \sigma_\theta(f, g) - \sigma_\theta(g, f)$$

If $\Pi_\theta = 0$, then Theorem II is true.

Let us assume that $\Pi_\theta \neq 0$, then all components of Π_θ , say Π_θ^I , have degree $2k$. By the virtue of Theorem II we have $k = 1$. This last conclusion implies that

$$\Pi_\theta(g, fh) = f\Pi_\theta(g, h) + \Pi(g, f)h, \quad \forall f, g, h \in W.$$

Furthermore it is easy to see that $2\Pi_\theta$ is but the symbol of

$$\Lambda_\theta(f, g) = \theta(f, g) - \theta(g, f).$$

Since θ is a Koszul-Vinberg chain one has

$$\oint \Lambda_\theta(f, \Lambda_\theta(g, h)) = 0.$$

We observe that the 3-chain

$$f, g, h \rightarrow \Lambda_\theta(f, \Lambda_\theta(g, h))$$

is of order ≤ 2 .

Regarding the degrees of components of $\Lambda_\theta(f, \Lambda_\theta(g, h))$ we conclude that the condition

$$\oint \Lambda_\theta(f, \Lambda_\theta(g, h)) = 0$$

must implies the same condition on the symbol of Λ_θ , viz

$$\oint \Pi_\theta(f, \Pi_\theta(g, h)) = 0$$

Now let us set

$$\{f, g\} = \Pi_\theta(f, g), \forall f, g \in W.$$

Then $(M, \{, \})$ is Poisson manifold. This ends the proof of Theorem III •

Theorem III is a useful tool to relate general 2-cycles $\theta \in C_2(\mathcal{G}, W)$ to transverse Poisson structures of Koszul-Vinberg algebroids.

We start with a Koszul-Vinberg algebroid (E, a_n) and the associated Koszul-Vinberg algebre $\mathcal{G}$.

Let $\theta \in C_2(\mathcal{G}, W)$ be a 2-cycle, viz $\delta_2\theta = 0$. We shall decompose θ as follows :

$$\theta = \theta_{2,0} + \theta_{1,1} + \theta_{0,2} \in C_{2,0}(\mathcal{G}, W) \oplus C_{1,1}(\mathcal{G}, W) \oplus C_{0,2}(\mathcal{G}, W).$$

Given

$$\xi = (s, f), \xi' = (s', f') \in \mathcal{G} \simeq \Gamma(E) \oplus \Gamma(\tilde{\mathbb{F}}),$$

we have

$$\theta(\xi, \xi') = \theta_{2,0}(s, s') + \theta_{1,1}(s, f') + \theta_{1,1}(f, s') + \theta_{0,2}(f, f').$$

By the virtue of Theorem I we know that $\delta_2\theta = 0$ implies the exactness of $\theta_{2,0}$. To simplify the notations $s \cdot f$ will stand for $a_n(s) f \quad \forall (s, f) \in \Gamma(E) \times \Gamma(\tilde{\mathbb{F}})$. Thus given $s \in \mathcal{A} = \Gamma(E)$ and $f, g \in \mathcal{A} = \Gamma(\tilde{\mathbb{F}})$, $\delta_2\theta = 0$ implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
& s \cdot \theta_{0,2}(f, g) - \theta_{0,2}(s \cdot f, g) - \theta_{0,2}(f, s \cdot g) + \theta_{1,1}(f, s)g \\
&= f\theta_{1,1}(s, g) - \theta_{1,1}(s, fg) - \theta_{1,1}(s, f)g
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

and

$$f\theta_{1,1}(g, s) - \theta_{1,1}(fg, s) = g\theta_{1,1}(f, s) - \theta_{1,1}(gf, s). \tag{17}$$

From (17) we deduce that

$$\theta_{1,1}(f, s) = f\theta_{1,1}(1, s) \quad \forall (s, f) \in \mathcal{A} \times W.$$

Then from (15) and (16) we must conclude that for any $s \in \mathcal{A}$ and $f, g \in W$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
s\theta_{0,2}(f, g) &= \theta_{0,2}(s \cdot f, g) + \theta_{0,2}(f, s \cdot g) - \theta_{1,1}(f, s)g \\
&\quad + f\theta_{1,1}(s, g) - \theta_{1,1}(s, fg) + \theta_{1,1}(s, f)g.
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Let Λ_θ be the skew symmetric 2-chain in $C_{0,2}(\mathfrak{G}, W)$ given by

$$\Lambda_\theta(f, g) = \theta_{0,2}(f, g) - \theta_{0,2}(g, f).$$

From (18) we deduce that $\forall s \in \mathcal{A}, \forall f, g \in W$ one has

$$\begin{aligned}
s\Lambda_\theta(f, g) &= \Lambda_\theta(s \cdot f, g) + \Lambda_\theta(f, s \cdot g) \\
&\quad - \theta_{1,1}(f, s)g + \theta_{1,1}(g, s)f.
\end{aligned}$$

We already know that $\theta_{1,1}(f, s) = f\theta_{1,1}(1, s)$. Thus (17) gives rise to the identity

$$s\Lambda_\theta(f, g) = \Lambda_\theta(s \cdot f, g) + \Lambda_\theta(f, s \cdot g). \tag{19}$$

We are now in position to prove the following statement

Theorem IV. *Let (E, a_n) be a regular Koszul-Vinberg algebroid. Then every Koszul-Vinberg 2-cycle of order $\leq k$ with $k > 0$ provides the associative commutative algebra of first integrals of $a_n(E)$ with a structure of Poisson algebra.*

Proof. Let $\theta \in C_2(\mathcal{G}, W)$ be a Koszul-Vinberg cycle. We are concerned with its component $\theta_{0,2}$. Let σ_θ be the symbol of $\theta_{0,2}$ and let Π_θ be the skew symmetric part of σ_θ . If $\Pi_\theta = 0$ the conclusion of Theorem IV holds if we set

$$\{f, g\} = 0 \quad \forall f, g \in I(E).$$

Let us suppose that $\Pi_\theta \neq 0$. By the virtue of Theorem I we have $k = 1$.

Thus given $f, g \in W$ let us set

$$\{f, g\} = \Pi_\theta(f, g).$$

According to Theorem III, Π_θ is a Poisson tensor on M . Now if f and g are elements of $I(E)$, then (19) shows that $\{f, g\}$ is an element of $I(E)$ as well. That ends the proof of Theorem IV •

A particularly interesting situation is when a manifold is a locally flat manifold, say (M, D) . We consider the Koszul-Vinberg algebroid $(TM, \text{identity})$; recall that TM stands for $T^{1,0}M$ when (M, D) is complex analytic. From our previous theorems above we deduce.

Theorem V. *Given a locally flat manifold (M, D) every Koszul-Vinberg 2-cycle of order $\leq k$ with $k > 0$ gives rise to a symplectic foliation in M whose associative commutative algebra of first integrals is a trivial Poisson algebra.*

Proof. Theorem V is a particular case of Theorem IV. Indeed let $\theta \in C_2(\mathcal{G}, W)$ be a Koszul-Vinberg 2-cycle, $\mathcal{G}$ being associated to the Koszul-Vinberg algebroid $(TM, \text{identity})$. We assume θ to be of order $\leq k$ with $k > 0$. We consider the skew symmetric part of σ_θ where σ_θ is the symbol of $\theta_{0,2}$; thus we set

$$2\Pi_\theta(f, g) = \sigma_\theta(f, g) - \sigma_\theta(g, f).$$

By Theorem IV we know that Π_θ is a Poisson tensor on M . On the other hand (18) shows that Π_θ is D-parallel, viz (M, D, Π_θ) is affine Poisson manifold. That implies that the differential system which is generated by hamiltonian vector fields is a regular distribution on M . So we obtain a foliation $\mathcal{F}_\theta$ whose tangent bundle is spanned by hamiltonian vector fields.

Of course each leaf of $\mathcal{F}_\theta$ is a symplectic manifold. From (18) we deduce that the transverse Poisson tensor which is induced by Π_θ is zero. This ends the proof •

Remark. Theorem V can also be deduced from the local decomposition theorem of A. Weinstein. [WA].

4 - The cotangent Koszul-Vinberg algebroid.

Let M be a smooth manifold (viz $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$).

Recall that according to [KM] there is a one to one correspondence between the set of isomorphism classes of star products on M and the set of isomorphism classes

of formal Poisson structures on M . In [BdM] Boutet de Monval point out that the arguments which are used to prove the above equivalence don't have their analogues in the category of complex analytic manifolds. The main aim of this section is to show that the concept of the scalar homology of Koszul-Vinberg algebroid allows to treat simultaneously the cases of smooth and complex analytic Poisson manifold structures.

4.1. The vertical Koszul-Vinberg algebroid.

Let M be a manifold and let T^*M be its cotangent vector bundle. When M is complex analytic T^*M stands for the vector bundle whose sections are holomorphic differential forms (viz $T^{*1,0}M$)

The fibers of the canonical projection

$$T^*M \xrightarrow{p} M$$

carry a canonical locally flat structure. They define in T^*M a foliation whose tangent bundle $\mathcal{V}(M)$ is a sub-bundle of $T(T^*M)$.

The distribution $\mathcal{V}(M) \subset T(T^*M)$ defines a lagrangian foliation in (T^*M, ω_λ) where ω_λ is the Liouville symplectic form on T^*M ; so the structure of Koszul-Vinberg algebra of $\mathcal{A} = \Gamma(\mathcal{V}(M))$ is that given Example (e₂) of sub-section 2.1.

We denote by $\text{Diff}_0(M)$ the group of diffeomorphisms of M that are isotopic to identity map from M to M . Diffeomorphism of M means smooth diffeomorphism (resp. complex analytic diffeomorphism) when $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ (resp. when $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$). Every $\varphi \in \text{Diff}_0(M)$ gives rise to a $\mathcal{V}(M)$ -preserving diffeomorphism of T^*M , say φ^* .

Actually φ^* is an automorphism of the Koszul-Vinberg algebra $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{A} \oplus \Gamma(\tilde{\mathbb{F}})$ where $\mathcal{A} = \Gamma(\mathcal{V}(M))$ and $\Gamma(\tilde{\mathbb{F}}) = \Gamma(T^*M \times \mathbb{F})$.

Henceforth we are interested in the chain complexes

$$J(W) \xrightarrow{\delta_0} C_1(\mathcal{G}, W) \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \dots$$

and

$$J(\mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\delta_0} C_1(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \dots$$

where $W = \Gamma(\tilde{\mathbb{F}})$.

We are going to point out that $C(\mathcal{G}, W)$ allows to construct all Poisson structures on M . Indeed let $\theta \in C_2(\mathcal{G}, W)$ be a 2-cycle of order $\leq k$ with $k > 0$.

To every such a cycle, say θ , we assign the skew symmetric part of $\theta_{0,2}$.

Thus we set

$$\Lambda_\theta(f, g) = \theta_{0,2}(f, g) - \theta_{0,2}(g, f) \quad \forall f, g \in W.$$

We know that the symbol Π_θ of Λ_θ is but the skew part of the symbol of $\theta_{0,2}$.

Suppose that Π_θ is a Poisson tensor on T^*M , then it is the unique Poisson tensor in its scalar homology class

$$[\Pi_\theta] \in H_{0,2}(v(M), \mathbb{F}).$$

The following definition is useful to our purpose.

Definition. A homology class $c \in H_2(v(M), \mathbb{F})$ is called a Poisson class if it contains a cycle of order ≤ 1 , say θ such that Π_θ is a Poisson tensor on T^*M .

Let $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathfrak{A}$ be the associative commutative algebra $C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$ of smooth functions on a smooth manifold M .

Then considering the Hochschild complex of $\mathfrak{A}$

$$\mathfrak{A} \xrightarrow{d} C^1(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A}) \xrightarrow{d} \dots$$

every class $c \in H^2(\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{A})$ is a Poisson class.

According to our previous definitions every homology class c which contains a Koszul-Vinberg cycle is a Poisson class.

The set of Poisson classes in $H_2(v(M), \mathbb{F})$ is denoted by $\mathcal{PH}_2(\mathbb{F})$ and the set of Poisson structures on M is denoted by $\mathcal{P}(M)$.

Given a Poisson class $c \in H(v(M), \mathbb{F})$ containing a 2-cycle θ such that Π_θ is a Poisson tensor on T^*M , let f and g be two first integrals of $v(M)$, then both f and g have the form

$$\begin{aligned} f &= f_0 \circ p, \\ g &= g_0 \circ p \end{aligned}$$

where f_0 and g_0 are elements of $\Gamma(M \times \mathbb{F})$.

By the virtue of (19) we have

$$s \cdot \Pi_\theta(f, g) = 0 \quad \forall s \in \mathcal{A} = \Gamma(v(M)). \quad (20)$$

Thus the manifold M carries a Poisson structure $(M, \{\}_\theta)$ which is defined by

$$\{f_0, g_0\} = \Pi_\theta(f_0 \circ p, g_0 \circ p) \quad (21)$$

where p is the canonical projection from T^*M to M . Since a class $c \in H_2(v(M), \mathbb{F})$ contains at most one Poisson tensor the map $\mathcal{PH}_2(\mathbb{F}) \xrightarrow{\Pi} \mathcal{P}(M)$ is well defined.

Theorem VI. Given a manifold M the map Π which assigns to every class $[\theta] = c \in \mathcal{PH}_2(\mathbb{F})$ the Poisson tensor $\Pi_\theta \in \mathcal{P}(M)$ is an isomorphism on $\mathcal{P}(M)$.

Proof. We have but to prove that $\Pi : \mathcal{PH}_2(\mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(M)$ is onto. Let P be a Poisson tensor on M . We denote by

$$0 : M \rightarrow T^*M$$

the zero section of $p : T^*M \rightarrow M$. The induced linear map

$$\sigma^* : \text{Funct}(T^*M, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \text{Funct}(M, \mathbb{F})$$

is surjective. $\text{Funct}(M, \mathbb{F})$ is the associative commutative algebra $\mathbb{F}$ -valued functions on M . Of course $\text{Funct}(M, \mathbb{F})$ is the algebra of smooth functions (resp holomorphic functions) when $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ (resp. $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{C}$). Let us define the bracket $\tilde{P}$ on $\text{Funct}(T^*M, \mathbb{F})$ by setting

$$\tilde{P}(f, g) = p^*P(\sigma^*(f), v^*(g)) \quad \forall f, g \in \text{Funct}(T^*M, \mathbb{F}). \quad (22)$$

Since both

$$\begin{aligned} p^* & : \text{Funct}(M, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \text{Funct}(T^*M) \text{ and} \\ \sigma^* & : \text{Funct}(T^*M, \mathbb{F}) \rightarrow \text{Funct}(M, \mathbb{F}) \end{aligned}$$

are homomorphisms of associative algebras with $v^* \circ p^*(f) = f$ we have

$$\oint \tilde{P}(f, \tilde{P}(g, h)) = 0$$

and

$$\tilde{P}(g, fh) = f\tilde{P}(gh) + \tilde{P}(g, f)h \quad (23)$$

Thus $\tilde{P}$ is a Poisson tensor on T^*M .

It remains to see that $\tilde{P} \in C_{0,2}(\mathcal{G}, W)$ is δ_2 -closed. We fix a system of local coordinate functions on M , say $q = (q_1 \cdots q_m)$, whose domain is an open set $U \subset M$. Then $p^{-1}(U) = T^*U$ carry the local coordinate functions

$$(q, p) = (q_1 \cdots q_m, p_1 \cdots p_m).$$

So $\forall \xi \in T^*U$ we have

$$\xi = \sum_{j=1}^m p_j dq_j$$

Using those coordinate functions we have

$$\tilde{P}(\xi) = \sum_{i,j} P_{ij}(q_1 \cdots q_m) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i} \wedge \frac{\partial}{\partial q_j} \quad (24)$$

Every $S \in \mathcal{A} = \Gamma(v(M))$ has the form

$$S(\xi) = \sum_{i=1}^m S_i(q, p) \frac{\partial}{\partial p_i}$$

Therefore we see that

$$S \cdot \tilde{P}(f, g) - \tilde{P}(s \cdot f, g) - \tilde{P}(f, s \cdot g) = 0 \quad (25)$$

for arbitrary elements $f, g \in W = \text{Funct}(T^*M, \mathbb{F})$. From (23) and (25) we conclude that

$$\delta_2 \tilde{P} = 0.$$

To end the proof we define $\theta \in C_2(\mathcal{G}, W)$ by putting

$$\theta((s, f), (s', f')) = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{P}(f, f').$$

Then (23) and (25) imply that

$$\delta_2 \theta = 0$$

Actually we have

$$\Pi_\theta = \tilde{P}$$

Therefore $[\theta] \in \mathcal{PH}_2(\mathbb{F})$ and we conclude that Π is surjective •

For $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{R}$ the homology space $H_1(\mathcal{V}(M))$ is of infinite dimension. That is a direct corollary of the following statement.

Theorem VII. *Let M be a smooth manifold, then there is a canonical linear injection of $\Gamma(TM)$ in $H_1(\mathcal{V}(M))$.*

Proof. Let $X \in \Gamma(TM)$. Every $x \in M$ have a neighbourhood $U(x)$ on which X defines a local one parameter group $\varphi_t \in \text{Diff}_0(U(x))$, $|t| < \alpha$. Then φ_t^* is a

local $v(M)$ -preserving diffeomorphism of $T^*(M)$. Thus we define a local section of TT^*M , say $\tilde{X}$ by setting

$$\tilde{X}_u(\xi) = \frac{d}{dt}\varphi_t(\xi)|_{t=0}, \forall \xi \in T^*U(x).$$

Actually $\tilde{X}$ is defined globally because on $T^*U(x) \cap T^*U(y)$ we have $\tilde{X}_{U(x)} = \tilde{X}_{U(y)}$.

On the other hand φ_t^* is a local automorphism of the Koszul-Vinberg algebra $\mathcal{G}$. Thus the Lie derivation $w \cdot r \cdot t \tilde{X}$ is a derivation of the algebra $\mathcal{G}$. (That statement may be deduced from the fact that φ_t^* preserves both $v(M)$ and the symplectic form ω_λ .) We denote the vector space spanned by the $\tilde{X}'^s$ by $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}(M)$. We just saw that every Lie derivation $L_{\tilde{X}}$ is 1-cycle in $C_1(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G})$.

Since

$$J(\mathcal{G}) \cap \tilde{\mathcal{X}}(M) = 0$$

we see that $\forall \tilde{X} \in \tilde{\mathcal{X}}(M)$ the homology class

$$[L_{\tilde{X}}] \in H_1(v(M))$$

is different from zero. So the map

$$X \in \mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow [L_{\tilde{X}}] \in H_1(v(M))$$

is injective. That ends the proof of Theorem VII •

5 - Poisson tensors as contact KV-invariants

Section 4 provides a universal way to get all Poisson structures on smooth (resp. complex analytic) manifolds. The aim of this section is to investigate relationships between Poisson tensors and contact KV-invariants.

5.1. Koszul-Vinberg algebroid of contact structure.

Let (M^{2n+1}, α) be a smooth contact manifold. Then the Reeb vector field $\mathcal{R}$ defines canonically a 1-rank sub-bundle of TM , say $E_{\mathcal{R}}$. Sections of $E_{\mathcal{R}}$ are vector fields $f\mathcal{R}$ with $f \in W = \Gamma(\tilde{\mathbb{R}})$.

We define multiplication in $\Gamma(E_{\mathcal{R}})$ by

$$f\mathcal{R} \cdot g\mathcal{R} = f < dg, \mathcal{R} > \mathcal{R}.$$

It easy to verify that

$$(f\mathcal{R}, g\mathcal{R}, h\mathcal{R}) = (g\mathcal{R}, f\mathcal{R}, h\mathcal{R}), \forall f, g, h \in \Gamma(\tilde{\mathbb{R}}).$$

We define the anchor a_n by

$$a_n(f\mathcal{R}) = f\mathcal{R}.$$

Thus $(E_{\mathcal{R}}, \text{identity})$ is a regular Koszul-Vinberg algebra.

Let $\varphi \in \text{Diff}_{\alpha}(M)$ be a α -preserving diffeomorphism (contact diffeomorphism). Then φ is $\mathcal{R}$ -preserving, viz we have

$$\text{Diff}_{\alpha}(M) = \text{Diff}_{\alpha, \mathcal{R}}(M).$$

Thus by setting $\varphi^*h = h \circ \varphi^{-1} \quad \forall h \in W$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_*(f\mathcal{R} \cdot g\mathcal{R}) &= \varphi_*(f\mathcal{R}) \cdot \varphi_*(g\mathcal{R}). \\ \varphi^*(\mathcal{R}h) &= \varphi_*\mathcal{R}(\varphi^*h). \end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Henceforth we are concerned with the Koszul-Vinberg algebra

$$\mathcal{G} = \Gamma(E_{\mathcal{R}}) \oplus \tilde{\mathbb{R}}$$

whose multiplication is given by (9). Identities (26) show that every $\varphi \in \text{Diff}_{\alpha}(M)$ gives rise to an automorphism of the complexes

$$\begin{aligned} J(W) &\xrightarrow{\delta_0} C_1(\mathcal{G}, W) \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \dots \\ J(\mathcal{G}) &\xrightarrow{\delta_0} C_1(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \dots \end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

According to our previous notations, the group $\text{Diff}_{\alpha}(M)$ acts on both $H(E_{\mathcal{R}}, \mathbb{R})$ and $H(E_{\mathcal{R}})$, where

$$\begin{aligned} H(E_{\mathcal{R}}, \mathbb{R}) &= \bigoplus_q H_q(E_{\mathcal{R}}, \mathbb{R}) \\ H(E_{\mathcal{R}}) &= \bigoplus_q H_q(E_{\mathcal{R}}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus the homology spaces $H(E_{\mathcal{R}}, \mathbb{R})$ and $H(E_{\mathcal{R}})$ yield new invariants of the contact structure (M, α) .

We also remark that from the actions of $\text{Diff}_{\alpha}(M)$ on both $C(\mathcal{G}, W)$ and $C(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G})$ we can deduce the equivariant subcomplexes

$$\begin{aligned} J(W)^G &\xrightarrow{\delta_0} C_1^G(\mathcal{G}, W) \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \dots \\ J(\mathcal{G})^G &\xrightarrow{\delta_0} C_1^G(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}) \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \dots \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

In (28) $G = \text{Diff}_\alpha(M)$, $C_q^G(\mathcal{G}, W)$ and $C_q^G(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G})$ are the vector space of G -equivariant q -chains. The last notion makes sense because G acts on both $\mathcal{G}$ and W . So, given $\theta \in C_q(\mathcal{G}, W)$ then $\theta \in C_q^G(\mathcal{G}, W)$ iff we have the identity

$$\varphi^*(\theta(\xi_1 \cdots \xi_q)) = \theta(\tilde{\varphi}(\xi_1), \dots, \tilde{\varphi}(\xi_q)).$$

Let us recall that $\forall \xi = (s, f) \in \Gamma(E_{\mathcal{R}}) \oplus W$, $\forall \varphi \in G$, then

$$\tilde{\varphi}(\xi) = (\varphi_* S, f \circ \varphi^{-1}) \quad (29)$$

The q^{th} homology spaces of $C^G(\mathcal{G}, W)$ and $C^G(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G})$ are denoted by $H_q^e(E_{\mathcal{R}}, \mathbb{R})$ and $H_q^e(E_{\mathcal{R}})$ respectively.

Classically, the vector spaces of residual cycles are denoted by $Z_q^r(\mathcal{G}, W) \subset C_q(\mathcal{G}, W)$ and $Z_q^r(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}) \subset C_q(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G})$. An element $\theta \in Z_q^r(\mathcal{G}, W)$ iff $\delta_q \theta$ is G -equivariant. The vector space of residual boundaries is defined to be

$$B_q^r = \varphi_{q-1}(C_{q-1}(\mathcal{G}, W) + C_q^G(\mathcal{G}, W) \subset Z_q^r(\mathcal{G}, W)).$$

It is well known that by setting

$$H_q^r(E_{\mathcal{R}}, \mathbb{R}) = Z_q^r(\mathcal{G}, W) / B_q^r(\mathcal{G}, W)$$

We obtain the following exact sequences

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_q^e(E_{\mathcal{R}}, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_q(E_{\mathcal{R}}, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_q^r(E_{\mathcal{R}}, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H_{q+1}^e(E_{\mathcal{R}}, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \cdots \quad (30)$$

and

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_q^e(E_{\mathcal{R}}) \rightarrow H_q(E_{\mathcal{R}}) \rightarrow H_q^r(E_{\mathcal{R}}) \rightarrow H_{q+1}^e(E_{\mathcal{R}}) \rightarrow \cdots \quad (31)$$

of course the group $G = \text{Diff}_\alpha(M)$ preserves the bigraduations

$$\begin{aligned} C_q(\mathcal{G}, W) &= \bigoplus_{r+s=q} C_{r,s}(\mathcal{G}, W), \\ C_q(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}) &= \bigoplus_{r+s=q} C_{r,s}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}). \end{aligned}$$

We observe that not all of the vector spaces $C_q^G(\mathcal{G}, W)$ are trivial. Indeed let us consider the volume form

$$v = \alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^n.$$

It defines an isomorphism β from $\Gamma\left(\bigwedge^k TM\right)$ to $\Gamma\left(\bigwedge^{2n+1-k} T^*M\right)$ for $0 \leq k \leq 2n+1$. For $\xi \in \Gamma\left(\bigwedge^k TM\right)$ we set

$$\beta(\xi) = i(\xi)v$$

where $i(\xi)$ is the inner product by ξ . The isomorphism β is G -equivariant, that is to say

$$\beta(\varphi_*\xi) = \varphi^*(\beta(\xi)), \forall \varphi \in G. \quad (32)$$

The action of G on $\Gamma(\Lambda T^*M)$ is given by (27).

Regarding $\tau^*(M) = \bigoplus_r \Gamma\left(\bigotimes^r T^*M\right)$ as $\mathbb{R}$ -algebra, we denote by $\tau_\alpha^*(M)$ the real subalgebra of $\tau^*(M)$ that is generated by α and $d\alpha$. Let us set

$$\# = (\beta)^{-1}$$

Then $\#$ is an isomorphism from $\tau^*(M)$ onto $\tau(M)$ where

$$\tau(M) = \bigoplus_r \Gamma\left(\bigotimes^r TM\right).$$

According to (3) we get $\tau_\alpha(M) = \#(T_\alpha^*(M))$

We have in particular that

$$\#((d\alpha)^n) = \mathcal{R}$$

where $\mathcal{R}$ is the Reeb vector field of (M, α) . Every element $\xi \in \tau_\alpha(M)$ is G -invariant, that is a straight consequence of (32).

Now we may regard elements of $\tau_\alpha(M)$ as operators on $W = C^\infty(M, \mathbb{R})$, so homogeneous element of degree $m \in \mathbb{N}$, ($\deg(\alpha) = 1$ and $\deg(d\alpha) = 2$), will be regarded as elements of $C_{o,m}^G(\mathcal{G}, W)$. This proves that the complex

$$J(W)^G \xrightarrow{\delta_0} C_1^G(\mathcal{G}, W) \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \dots$$

has non zero chains.

To motivate we will consider the sub-complex

$$J(W) \xrightarrow{\delta_0} C_1(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{R}}, W) \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \dots \quad (33)$$

where

$$\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{R}} = \mathbb{R} \cdot \mathcal{R} + W$$

$\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a subalgebra of the Koszul-Vinberg algebra

$$\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, \mathbb{R}) \cdot \mathcal{R} + W.$$

and it makes sense to consider (33) ; we have the following results.

Considering the vector space W as a Koszul-Vinberg module of $\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{R}}$

$J(W)$ is but the vector space of first integrals of $\mathcal{R}$, say $I(\mathcal{R})$. Thus in the chain complex (33), that is

$$I(W) \xrightarrow{\delta_0} C_1(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{R}}, W) \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \dots$$

we have $\delta_0 = 0$ and $H_0(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{R}}, W) = I(\mathcal{R})$.

Now the complex (33) is stable under the action of G . Not all G -invariant chains of $C_q(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{R}}, W)$ are zero. Indeed using the isomorphism $\#$ we see for every non negative integer m $0 \leq m \leq n$ the m -multivector,

$$\Pi_m = \#(\alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^m)$$

is G -invariant. Therefore we see that the chains

$$\alpha^\ell \otimes \Pi_m \in C_{\ell, 2n+1-m}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{R}}, W), \ell \in \mathbb{N}$$

are G -equivariant α^ℓ stands for $\bigotimes_{\ell}^{\ell} \alpha$.

So (33) contains the (non trivial) subcomplex

$$I(\mathcal{R})^G \xrightarrow{\delta_0} C_1^G(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{R}}, W) \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \dots C_q^G(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{R}}, W) \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \dots \quad (34)$$

We consider $\mathcal{R}$ as element of $C_{0,1}^G(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{R}}, W)$, thus one has

$$\delta_1 \mathcal{R} = 0.$$

(Moreover $\mathcal{R}$ is of order ≤ 1 and $\sigma_{\mathcal{R}} = \mathcal{R}$)

Since $\delta_0 = 0$ we the homology space $\tilde{H}_1(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{R}}, W)$ of (34) is non zero. An other example of non zero homology class of (34) is

$$\alpha \otimes \mathcal{R} \in C_{1,1}^G(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{R}}, W).$$

We have $\delta_1(\alpha \otimes \mathcal{R}) = 0$ and $[\alpha \otimes \mathcal{R}] \in \tilde{H}_2(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{R}}, W) - \{0\}$.

Actually one can use the isomorphism $\#$ to produce other canonical G -equivariant chain in $C_q(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{R}}, W)$. Indeed let $(\ell, m) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ with $0 \leq m \leq n$. We set

$$\Pi_{2(n-m)} = \#(\alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^m)$$

Thus $\Pi_{2(n-m)}$ is a G -invariant section of $\bigwedge^{2(n-m)} TM$. We regard

$$\theta = \alpha^\ell \otimes \Pi_{2(n-m)}$$

as an element of $C_{\ell, 2(n-m)}^G(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{R}}, W)$.

Actually every homology class of the complex

$$I(\mathcal{R})^G \xrightarrow{\delta_0} C_1^G(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{R}}, W) \xrightarrow{\delta_1} \cdots \rightarrow C_q^G(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{R}}, W) \xrightarrow{\delta_q} \cdots$$

is a contact invariant of (M, α) .

Remark : one easily checks that

$$L_{\mathcal{R}} \Pi_{2(n-m)} = 0$$

In particular $\Pi_2 = \#(\alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^{n-1})$ is such that

$$L_{\mathcal{R}} \Pi_L = 0$$

we consider the foliation of M which is defined by $\mathcal{R}$.

Thus given two functions f_1 and f_2 we have

$$\delta_2 \Pi_2(\mathcal{R}, f_1, f_2) = 0 \tag{35}$$

Actually it is an exercise to show that $\delta_2 \Pi_2 = 0$ and that Π_2 is but the Poisson tensor that is associated to the transverse symplectic form $d\alpha$.

A Poisson tensor $\Pi_2 \in C_{0,2}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{R}}, W)$ cannot be homologous to zero. Since $C_{0,2}(\mathcal{G}_{\mathbb{R}}, W) = C_{0,2}(\mathcal{G}, W)$ we deduce from (35) that Π_2 is a G -invariant cycle in $C_{0,2}(\mathcal{G}, W)$. Thus we have

$$[\Pi_2] \in H_2^L C_{0,2} C_{0,2}(\mathcal{G}, W) - \{0\}$$

More generally we

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{2(n-m)} &\in C_{0,2(n-m)}(\mathcal{G}, W)^G \\ \Pi_{2(n-m)+1} &= \#(d\alpha)^m \in C_{0,2(n-m)+1}^G(\mathcal{G}, W). \end{aligned}$$

Bibliographie

[BdM] **BOUTET de MONVEL L.** Complex star algebras. Math. Phys. An. Geom. 2 (1999) n°2 113-139.

[DLM] **DAZORD P., LICHNEROWICZ A. and MARLE C.M.** Structure locale des variétés de Jacobi. J.Math. Pures Appl. 70 (1991) 101-152.

[DENL] **De WIDE M., LECOMTE P.** Existence of star products and formal deformations of Poisson algebra of arbitrary symplectic manifold. Lett. Math Phys. 7 (1983) 487-496

[DUJ] **DUFOUR J.P.** Normal forms for Lie algebroids (preprint).

[GEM] **GERSTENHABER M.** Deformation of Rings and Algebras Ann. of Math. 79 (1964) 59-103.

[GH] **GOLDSCHMIDT H.** Equations de Lie. J. Diff. Geom 11(1970) 167-223.

[HEL] **HELMSTETTER J.** Radical d'une algèbre symétrique à gauche Ann. Inst. Fourier 29 (1979) 17-35.

[KON] **KONTSEVICH M.** Deformation quantization of Poisson manifolds. Prep. q-Alg/970-9040.

[KOS] **KOSMANN-SCHWARZBACH Y.** Crochet de Schouten et cohomologies d'algèbre de Lie CRAS Paris 312 (1991) R3-126.

[KJL₁] **KOSZUL J-L.** Homologie des complexes de formes différentielles d'ordre supérieur Ann. Scient. Ec. Norm. Sup. 7 (1974) 149-154.

- [KJL₂] **KOSZUL J-L.** Déformation des variétés localement plates. Ann. Inst. Fourier, 18 (1968) 103-114.
- [KJL₃] **KOSZUL J-L.** Crochet de Schouten-Nijenhuis et cohomologie in Elie Cartan et les Mathématiques d'aujourd'hui. Astérisque (1985) 253-271.
- [LMA] **LIBERMANN P., MARLE C.M.** Symplectic manifolds, dynamical systems and Hamiltonian Mechanics, in Diff. Geometry and relativity in Honour of A. Lichnérowicz, D. Reidel, Dordrecht (1976).
- [MB] **MALGRANGE B.** Equations de Lie I,II, Jour. of Diff. Geom. (6) (1972) 503-522 ep (7) (1972) 117-141.
- [MJ] **MILNOR J.** On the fundamental groups of complete affinely flat manifolds. Adv. in Math. 25 (1977) 178-187.
- [NGB₁] **NGUIFFO BOYOM M.** Algèbres symétriques à gauche et algèbres de Lie réductives. Thèse (Grenoble) 1968.
- [NGB₂] Homology of KV-algebras and related topics in Diff. Geom. (To appear).
- [NGB₃] The Homology theory of Koszul-Vinberg algebras (submitted).
- [NGB₄] Structures affines isotropes. Ann della Sc. Norm. Sup. Pisa IV (1993) 91-131.
- [NBW] **NGUIFFO BOYOM N. WOLAK R.** The KV-homology and transversally affine foliation (in preparation).
- [NIJ] **NIJENHUIS A.** Sur une classe de propriétés communes à quelques types différents d'algèbres. L'Enseignement Mathématique t. XIV (1969). 225-277.
- [PAM] **PEREA A.M.** Flat left invariant connections adapted to automorphism structure on Lie groups. Jour. Diff. Geom. 16 (1981). 445-474.
- [SS] **SINGER I.M. and STERNBERG S.** The infinite groups of Lie and Cartan. Jour. d'Analyse Math. Jerusalem 15 (1965). 1-114.
- [VAI] **VAISMAN I.** Lectures on the Geometry of Poisson manifolds. Progress in Math. 118 Birkhauser 1994.
- [VEY] **VEY J.** Déformation du crochet de Poisson sur une variété symplectique. Comment. Math. Helv. 50 (1975) 421-454.
- [VEB] **VINBERG E.B.** Theory of convex homogeneous cones, Trudy Moscow Mat. Obshch. 12 (1963) 303-358.
- [WA] **WEINSTEIN A.** The local structure of Poisson manifolds J. Diff. Geom. 18 (1983), 523-557.